arXiv:1403.0329v1 [math.ST] 3 Mar 2014

Asymptotic Properties of the Misclassification Errors for Euclidean Distance Discriminant Rule in High-Dimensional Data

Hiroki Watanabe [*], Masashi Hyodo[†], Takashi Seo[‡] and Tatjana Pavlenko [§]

Tokyo University of Science and KTH Royal Institute of Technology

March 4, 2014

Abstract

Performance accuracy of the Euclidean Distance Discriminant rule (EDDR) is studied in the high-dimensional asymptotic framework which allows the dimensionality to exceed sample size. Under mild assumptions on the traces of the covariance matrix, our new results provide the asymptotic distribution of the conditional misclassification error and the explicit expression for the consistent and asymptotically unbiased estimator of the expected misclassification error. To get these properties, new results on the asymptotic normality of the quadratic forms and traces of the higher power of Wishart matrix, are established. Using our asymptotic results, we further develop two generic methods of determining a cut-off point for EDDR to adjust the misclassification errors. Finally, we numerically justify the high accuracy of our asymptotic findings along with the cut-off determination methods in finite sample applications, inclusive of the large sample and high-dimensional scenarios.

Key words and phrases: High-dimensional framework, conditional error rate, expected error rate.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we focus on the discrimination problem which is concerned with the allocation of a given object, $\boldsymbol{x}$, a random vector represented by a set of features $(x_1, \ldots, x_p)$, to one ot two populations, Π_1 and Π_2 given by $\mathcal{N}_p(\boldsymbol{\mu}_1, \Sigma)$ and $\mathcal{N}_p(\boldsymbol{\mu}_2, \Sigma)$, respectively, where $\boldsymbol{\mu}_1 \neq \boldsymbol{\mu}_2$ and common covariance matrix Σ is non-singular. Let $\{\boldsymbol{x}_{gj}\}_{j=1}^{N_g}$ be a random sample of independent observations drawn from gth population $\mathcal{N}_p(\boldsymbol{\mu}_g, \Sigma)$, $g = 1, 2$. Let

[*]Department of Mathematical Information Science
[†]Department of Mathematical Information Science
[‡]Department of Mathematical Information Science
[§]Department of Mathematics, KTH Royal Institute of Technology

also $N = N_1 + N_2$ denote the total sample size and set $n = N - 2$. We are interested to explore the discrimination procedure that can accomodate $p > n$ cases, with the main focus on the performance accuracy in the asymptototic framework that allows p to grow together with n.

Clearly, the classical discriminant procedures, like Fisher linear discriminant rule, cannot be used when $p > n$ since the sample covariance matrix is singular and hence cannot be inverted. An intuitively appealing alternative considered in this study focuses on geometrical properties of the sample space and re-formulates the classification problem in terms of the *Euclidian distance discriminant rule* (EDDR): assign a new observation $\boldsymbol{x}$ to the "nearest" population Π_g, i.e. assign to Π_g if it is on average closer to the data from Π_g than to the data from the other population. Matusita's papers (see Matusita (1955), and Matusita and Motoo (1956)) are perhaps the oldest references dealing with the discriminant rule based on distance measures, including the case when the multivariate distributions underlying the data are not specified.

Recently, Aoshima and Yata (2013) have been considered the EDDR for the high-dimensional multi-class problem with different class covariance matrices. In particular, they derived asymptotic conditions which ensure that the expected misclassification error converges to zero. Recent paper by Srivastava (2006) used the Moore-Penrose inverse of the estimated covariance matrix and suggested a second-order approximation of the expected error rate in high-dimensional data.

We, in this study, focus on the asymptotic behavior of the misclassification errors of EDDR. Continuing with the normality assumption, with $\boldsymbol{\mu}_g$ acting as the centered of the Π_g's distribution we define

$$T_0(\boldsymbol{x}) =\| \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_2 \|^2 - \| \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_1 \|^2, \tag{1.1}$$

and its sample based version as

$$\widetilde{T}(\boldsymbol{x}) =\| \boldsymbol{x} - \overline{\boldsymbol{x}}_2 \|^2 - \| \boldsymbol{x} - \overline{\boldsymbol{x}}_1 \|^2 \tag{1.2}$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the Euclidean norm and $\overline{\boldsymbol{x}}_g$'s denote the sample mean vectors, $g = 1, 2$. Hence, each term in (1.1) and (1.2) represents the distance between the observed vector $\boldsymbol{x}$ and the centroid of Π_g's or its sample based counterpart.

The natural advantage of using $\widetilde{T}(\boldsymbol{x})$ for classifying high-dimensional data is its ability to mitigate the effect of dimensionality on the performance accuracy. Indeed, as it is seen from (1.2), $\widetilde{T}(\boldsymbol{x})$ utilizes only the marginal distribution of the p variables, thereby naturally reducing the effect of large p in implementations. But the dimensionality has impact on the classification accuracy. To show this, we first point out that classifier $\widetilde{T}(\boldsymbol{x})$ has a bias. In fact,

$$\mathrm{E}[\widetilde{T}(\boldsymbol{x})|\boldsymbol{x} \in \Pi_g] \;\; = \;\; (-1)^{g-1}\|\boldsymbol{\mu}_1 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_2\|^2 + \frac{N_1 - N_2}{N_1 N_2}\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma, \;\; g = 1, 2,$$

and thus the impact of dimensionality is implied by the quantity $(N_1 - N_2)\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma/(N_1 N_2)$. In this study, we introduce the bias-corrected version $\widetilde{T}(\boldsymbol{x})$ defined as

$$T(\boldsymbol{x}) = \|\boldsymbol{x} - \overline{\boldsymbol{x}}_2\|^2 - \|\boldsymbol{x} - \overline{\boldsymbol{x}}_1\|^2 - \frac{N_1 - N_2}{N_1 N_2}\mathrm{tr}\,S, \tag{1.3}$$

where the subtraction of $(N_1 - N_2)/(N_1 N_2)\mathrm{tr}\, S$ in (1.3) is to guarantee that $\mathrm{E}[T(\boldsymbol{x})|\boldsymbol{x} \in \Pi_g] = (-1)^{g-1}\|\boldsymbol{\mu}_1 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_2\|^2,\ g = 1, 2$. Here, $S = (1/n)\sum_{g=1}^{2}\sum_{j=1}^{N_g}(\boldsymbol{x}_{gj} - \overline{\boldsymbol{x}}_g)(\boldsymbol{x}_{gj} - \overline{\boldsymbol{x}}_g)'$.

Now, the EDDR given by $T(\boldsymbol{x})$ places a new observation $\boldsymbol{x}$ to Π_1 if $T(\boldsymbol{x}) > \tilde{c}$, and to Π_2 otherwise, where $\tilde{c}$ is an appropriate cut-off point. Then, for a specific $\tilde{c}$, the performance accuracy of EDDR will be represented by the pair of misclassification error rates that result. Precisely, we define the conditional misclassification error of EDDR by

$$ce(2|1) = \Pr(T(\boldsymbol{x}) \leq \tilde{c}|\boldsymbol{x} \in \Pi_1,\ \overline{\boldsymbol{x}}_1,\ \overline{\boldsymbol{x}}_2,\ S)$$

and its expected version by $e(2|1) = \mathrm{E}[ce(2|1)]$, where the expectation is taken with respect to $\overline{\boldsymbol{x}}_1$, $\overline{\boldsymbol{x}}_2$ and S. Our main objective is to derive characteristic properties of both conditional and expected misclassification error in high-dimensional data.

In many practical problems one type of misclassification error is generally regarded as more serious than the other, examples include e.g. medical applications associated with the diagnosis of diseases. In such a case, it might be desired to determine the cut-off $\tilde{c}$ to obtain a specified probability of the error, or at least to approximate a specified probability. Then, one might base the choice of $\tilde{c}$ on the expected misclassification error. This method, denoted in what follows by **M1**, suggests to set a cut-off point $\tilde{c}$ such that

$$\mathbf{M1}: \quad e(2|1) = \mathrm{E}[ce(2|1)] = \alpha,$$

where α is a value given by experimenters.

On the other hand, one may exploit the confidence of the conditional error rate when determining $\tilde{c}$; we denote this method by

$$\mathbf{M2}: \quad \Pr(ce(2|1) < eu) = 1 - \beta,$$

where $1 - \beta$ is the desired level of confidence and eu is an upper bound.

Both determination methods **M1** and **M2** have been established by using large sample approximation, see Anderson (1973), McLachlan (1977) and Shutoh et al. (2012). In this study, we extend the consideration to the high-dimensional case. Our main theoretical results provide the asymptotically unbiased and consistent estimator of $e(2|1)$ and the limit distribution of $ce(2|1)$ under general assumptions covering the case when $p > n$. In fact, **M1** and **M2** procedures can be considered as specific examples of using our generic results in the theory of EDDR in high-dimensions.

The remaining part of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we derived the asymptotically unbiased and consistent estimator of $e(2|1)$. Further, the limiting approximations of the cut-off point defined by **M1** are established by using this estimator. In Section 3, two estimators of the confidence-based cut-off point defined by **M2** are proposed, for which the asymptotic normality of the conditional error rate is shown. Section 4 summaries the results of numerical experiments justifying the validity of the suggested cut-off estimators for various strength of dependence underlying the data along with a number of high-dimensional scenarios where p far exceeds the sample size. We conclude in Section 5, and give a through proofs of newly established asymptotic results together with some auxiliary lemmas in Appendix A.

2 Evaluation of the expected misclassification error

Getting the closed-form expression for the expected error is too demanding, therefore we first shall derive its asymptotic approximation, and then based on this result, propose the consistent and asymptotically unbiased estimator of $e(2|1)$ in high dimensions. We further show how these results can be used to provide the cut-off by the determination procedure **M1**.

Let $\boldsymbol{\delta} = \boldsymbol{\mu}_1 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_2, a_i = \operatorname{tr}\Sigma^i/p, i = 1, \ldots, 8, \Delta_i = \boldsymbol{\delta}'\Sigma^i\boldsymbol{\delta}, i = 1, \ldots, 7$ and $\Delta_0 = \boldsymbol{\delta}'\boldsymbol{\delta}$. We make the following assumptions for the consistency and unbiasedness of the estimator of $e(2|1)$:

$$(\text{A1}) : 0 < \lim_{(n,p)\to\infty} \frac{p}{n} < \infty, \quad 0 < \lim_{(n,N_i)\to\infty} \frac{N_i}{n+2} < 1,\ i = 1, 2.$$

$$(\text{A2}) : 0 < \lim_{(n,p)\to\infty} \Delta_0, \lim_{(n,p)\to\infty} \Delta_1 < \infty, \quad 0 < \lim_{(n,p)\to\infty} a_1, \lim_{(n,p)\to\infty} a_2 < \infty.$$

$$(\text{A3}) : \lim_{(n,p)\to\infty} \frac{\Delta_3}{n} \to 0, \lim_{(n,p)\to\infty} \frac{a_4}{n} \to 0.$$

Assume henceforth $\boldsymbol{x} \in \Pi_1$. The symmetry of our classification rule makes the probability of error if the mean of $\boldsymbol{x}$ is $\boldsymbol{\mu}_1$ the same as that under $\boldsymbol{\mu}_2$. Then for the conditional distribution of $T(\boldsymbol{x})$ given $(\overline{\boldsymbol{x}}_1, \overline{\boldsymbol{x}}_2, S)$ it holds that

$$T(\boldsymbol{x})|(\overline{\boldsymbol{x}}_1, \overline{\boldsymbol{x}}_2, S) \sim \mathcal{N}\left(-2U - \frac{N_1 - N_2}{N_1 N_2}\operatorname{tr} S, 4V\right),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} U =& (\overline{\boldsymbol{x}}_1 - \overline{\boldsymbol{x}}_2)'(\overline{\boldsymbol{x}}_1 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_1) - \frac{1}{2}(\overline{\boldsymbol{x}}_1 - \overline{\boldsymbol{x}}_2)'(\overline{\boldsymbol{x}}_1 - \overline{\boldsymbol{x}}_2), \\ V =& (\overline{\boldsymbol{x}}_1 - \overline{\boldsymbol{x}}_2)'\Sigma(\overline{\boldsymbol{x}}_1 - \overline{\boldsymbol{x}}_2). \end{aligned}$$

Now the expected error rate $e(2|1)$ of $T(\boldsymbol{x})$ can be expressed in terms of U and V as

$$e(2|1) = \mathrm{E}[ce(2|1)] = \mathrm{E}\left[\Phi\left(\frac{U + (N_2^{-1} - N_1^{-1})p\hat{a}_1/2 + c}{\sqrt{V}}\right)\right], \tag{2.1}$$

where the expectation is with respect to U and V, $c = \tilde{c}/2$, $\hat{a}_1 = \operatorname{tr} S/p$ and $\Phi(\cdot)$ is the cumulative distribution function of the standard normal distribution.

In order to proceed to asymptotic approximation of $e(2|1)$, we need some preparatory stochastic evaluation of U and V. We introduce the auxiliary random variables

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{z}_1 &= N^{-\frac{1}{2}}\Gamma'\Sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}}(N_1\overline{\boldsymbol{x}}_1 + N_2\overline{\boldsymbol{x}}_2 - N_1\boldsymbol{\mu}_1 - N_2\boldsymbol{\mu}_2), \\ \boldsymbol{z}_2 &= \left(\frac{N}{N_1 N_2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\Gamma'\Sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\overline{\boldsymbol{x}}_1 - \overline{\boldsymbol{x}}_2 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_1 + \boldsymbol{\mu}_2), \end{aligned}$$

and observe that $\boldsymbol{z}_1$ and $\boldsymbol{z}_2$ are independent and identically distributed as $\mathcal{N}_p(\boldsymbol{0}, I_p)$, where Γ is an orthogonal matrix such that $\Sigma = \Gamma\Lambda\Gamma'$ and Λ is a diagonal matrix of eigenvalues

of Σ. By means of $\boldsymbol{z}_1$ and $\boldsymbol{z}_2$, we further define

$$U_0 = -\Delta_0/2, \tag{2.2}$$

$$U_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\boldsymbol{\delta}'\Gamma\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}\boldsymbol{z}_1 - \left(\frac{N_1}{NN_2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\boldsymbol{\delta}'\Gamma\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}\boldsymbol{z}_2 + \frac{1}{(N_1N_2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\boldsymbol{z}_1'\Lambda\boldsymbol{z}_2 - \frac{N_1-N_2}{2N_1N_2}(\boldsymbol{z}_2'\Lambda\boldsymbol{z}_2 - pa_1), \tag{2.3}$$

$$U_2 = \frac{(N_1-N_2)p}{2N_1N_2}(\hat{a}_1 - a_1), \tag{2.4}$$

and observe that by using (2.2)-(2.4) the numerator in (2.1) can be decomposed as

$$U + \frac{(N_1-N_2)p\hat{a}_1}{2N_1N_2} = U_0 + U_1 + U_2. \tag{2.5}$$

By analogy with U, V can also be decomposed by first defining V_0 and V_1 as

$$V_0 = \Delta_1 + \frac{Npa_2}{N_1N_2},\ V_1 = 2\left(\frac{N}{N_1N_2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\boldsymbol{\delta}'\Gamma\Lambda^{\frac{3}{2}}\boldsymbol{z}_2 + \frac{N}{N_1N_2}(\boldsymbol{z}_2'\Lambda^2\boldsymbol{z}_2 - pa_2) \tag{2.6}$$

and then observing that $V = V_0 + V_1$. Now for the first moments, we have by (2.5) and (2.6)

$$\mathrm{E}\left[U + \frac{(N_1-N_2)p\hat{a}_1}{2N_1N_2}\right] = U_0,\ \mathrm{E}[V] = V_0.$$

To evaluate the second moments, we apply Lemma A.3 (see Appendix) and obtain

$$\mathrm{E}\left[\left(U + \frac{(N_1-N_2)p\hat{a}_1}{2N_1N_2} - U_0\right)^2\right] = H_U(\Delta_1, a_2) + o(n^{-1}),\ \mathrm{E}[(V-V_0)^2] = H_V(\Delta_3, a_4),$$

where

$$H_U(\Delta_1, a_2) = \frac{1}{N_2}\Delta_1 + \frac{(N_1^2+N_2^2)pa_2}{2N_1^2N_2^2},\ H_V(\Delta_3, a_4) = \frac{4N}{N_1N_2}\Delta_3 + \frac{2N^2pa_4}{(N_1N_2)^2}.$$

Under the assumptions (A1)-(A3), it holds that

$$\mathrm{E}\left[\left(U + \frac{(N_1-N_2)p\hat{a}_1}{2N_1N_2} - U_0\right)^2\right] \to 0,\ \mathrm{E}[(V-V_0)^2] \to 0, \tag{2.7}$$

and by Chebyshev's inequality, (2.7) implies that

$$U + \frac{(N_1-N_2)p\hat{a}_1}{2N_1N_2} \xrightarrow{P} U_0,\quad V \xrightarrow{P} V_0, \tag{2.8}$$

where $\xrightarrow{P}$ denotes convergence in probability.

Since $\Phi(\cdot)$ in (2.1) is a continuous function of U and V, it follows from (2.8), by the continuous mapping theorem, that

$$\left|\Phi\left(\frac{U+(N_1-N_2)p\hat{a}_1/(2N_1N_2)+c}{\sqrt{V}}\right)-\Phi\left(\frac{U_0+c}{\sqrt{V_0}}\right)\right| \xrightarrow{P} 0.$$

On the other hand, it naturally holds that

$$\left|\Phi\left(\frac{U+(N_1-N_2)p\hat{a}_1/(2N_1N_2)+c}{\sqrt{V}}\right)-\Phi\left(\frac{U_0+c}{\sqrt{V_0}}\right)\right| < 1.$$

Hence, by the dominated convergence theorem we have

$$\mathrm{E}\left[\left|\Phi\left(\frac{U+(N_1-N_2)p\hat{a}_1/(2N_1N_2)+c}{\sqrt{V}}\right)-\Phi\left(\frac{U_0+c}{\sqrt{V_0}}\right)\right|\right] \to 0. \tag{2.9}$$

Further, by applying the Jensen's inequality to (2.9) we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\mathrm{E}\left[\Phi\left(\frac{U+(N_1-N_2)p\hat{a}_1/(2N_1N_2)+c}{\sqrt{V}}\right)\right]-\Phi\left(\frac{U_0+c}{\sqrt{V_0}}\right)\right| \\ &\le \mathrm{E}\left[\left|\Phi\left(\frac{U+(N_1-N_2)p\hat{a}_1/(2N_1N_2)+c}{\sqrt{V}}\right)-\Phi\left(\frac{U_0+c}{\sqrt{V_0}}\right)\right|\right] \to 0.\end{aligned}$$

The above results are summarized in the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1 *Under assumptions* (A1)-(A3)

$$e(2|1) \to \Phi\left(\frac{U_0+c}{\sqrt{V_0}}\right), \tag{2.10}$$

where U_0 and V_0 are defined in (2.2) *and* (2.6)*, respectively.*

In words, Lemma 2.1 provides a closed form expression for the limiting term of $e(2|1)$. Hence, to identify the cut-off point for $T(\boldsymbol{x})$, we derive a consistent and unbiased estimator of $e(2|1)$ by plugging-in consistent estimators of U_0 and V_0 into the right hand side of (2.10).

As U_0 and V_0 are functions of Δ_0, Δ_1 and a_2, we begin by obtaining their consistent estimators.

Lemma 2.2 *Let estimators of Δ_0, Δ_1, a_2 be defined as*

$$\widehat{\Delta}_0 = \widehat{\boldsymbol{\delta}}'\widehat{\boldsymbol{\delta}} - \frac{Np}{N_1N_2}\hat{a}_1, \tag{2.11}$$

$$\widehat{\Delta}_1 = \widehat{\boldsymbol{\delta}}' S\widehat{\boldsymbol{\delta}} - \frac{Np}{N_1N_2}\hat{a}_2, \tag{2.12}$$

$$\hat{a}_2 = \frac{n^2}{p(n+2)(n-1)}\left(\operatorname{tr} S^2 - \frac{(\operatorname{tr} S)^2}{n}\right), \tag{2.13}$$

respectively, where $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\delta}} = \overline{\boldsymbol{x}}_1 - \overline{\boldsymbol{x}}_2$. Then under assumptions (A1)-(A3)

$$\widehat{\Delta}_0 \xrightarrow{P} \Delta_0, \quad \widehat{\Delta}_1 \xrightarrow{P} \Delta_1, \quad \hat{a}_2 \xrightarrow{P} a_2.$$

(Proof)

To show consistency of a_1 and a_2, we use exact expressions for the variances of these estimators derived in Srivastava (2005) as

$$\mathrm{E}[(\hat{a}_1 - a_1)^2] = \frac{2a_2}{np}, \tag{2.14}$$

$$\mathrm{E}[(\hat{a}_2 - a_2)^2] = \frac{8(n+2)(n+3)(n-1)^2}{pn^5}a_4 + \frac{4(n+2)(n-1)}{n^4}(a_2^2 - p^{-1}a_4). \tag{2.15}$$

Then by applying Chebyshev's inequality to (2.14) and (2.15) it can be seen that

$$\hat{a}_1 \xrightarrow{P} a_1, \hat{a}_2 \xrightarrow{P} a_2. \tag{2.16}$$

To show consistency of $\widehat{\Delta}_0$ and $\widehat{\Delta}_1$, we first consider the following random variables

$$\widetilde{\Delta}_0 = \widehat{\boldsymbol{\delta}}'\widehat{\boldsymbol{\delta}} - \frac{Np}{N_1N_2}a_1, \ \widetilde{\Delta}_1 = \widehat{\boldsymbol{\delta}}' S\widehat{\boldsymbol{\delta}} - \frac{Np}{N_1N_2}a_2$$

and evaluate the first two moments of $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\delta}}'\widehat{\boldsymbol{\delta}}$ and $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\delta}}' S\widehat{\boldsymbol{\delta}}$. We rewrite

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{\delta}}'\widehat{\boldsymbol{\delta}} = \boldsymbol{\delta}'\boldsymbol{\delta} + 2\left(\frac{N}{N_1N_2}\right)^{1/2}\boldsymbol{\delta}'\Sigma^{1/2}\boldsymbol{z} + \frac{N}{N_1N_2}\boldsymbol{z}'\Sigma\boldsymbol{z},$$

and

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{\delta}}' S\widehat{\boldsymbol{\delta}} = \boldsymbol{\delta}' S\boldsymbol{\delta} + 2\left(\frac{N}{N_1N_2}\right)^{1/2}\boldsymbol{\delta}' S\Sigma^{1/2}\boldsymbol{z} + \frac{N}{N_1N_2}\boldsymbol{z}'\Sigma^{1/2}S\Sigma^{1/2}\boldsymbol{z}, \tag{2.17}$$

where $\boldsymbol{z} \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{0}, I_p)$. Then it easily follows that

$$\mathrm{E}[\widetilde{\Delta}_0] = \Delta_0, \ \mathrm{E}[\widetilde{\Delta}_1] = \Delta_1 \tag{2.18}$$

and

$$\mathrm{Var}[\widetilde{\Delta}_0] = \frac{4N}{N_1N_2}\Delta_1 + \frac{2N^2p}{N_1^2N_2^2}a_2, \tag{2.19}$$

$$\mathrm{Var}[\widetilde{\Delta}_1] = \frac{2a_2^2N^2p^2}{nN_1^2N_2^2} + \frac{4a_2\Delta_1Np}{nN_1N_2} + \frac{2a_4N^3p}{nN_1^2N_2^2} + \frac{2\Delta_1^2}{n} + \frac{4\Delta_3N^2}{nN_1N_2}. \tag{2.20}$$

By applying Chebyshev's inequality to (2.18)-(2.20), we obtain

$$\widetilde{\Delta}_0 \xrightarrow{P} \Delta_0, \ \widetilde{\Delta}_1 \xrightarrow{P} \Delta_1. \tag{2.21}$$

Finally, from (2.16) and (2.21), we see that consistency of $\widetilde{\Delta}_0$ and $\widetilde{\Delta}_1$ imply consistency of $\widehat{\Delta}_0$ and $\widehat{\Delta}_1$. □

Now by substituting the estimators of Δ_0, Δ_1, a_2 into the limiting term in Lemma 2.1. the consistent estimator of $e(2|1)$ is given by $\Phi((\widehat{U}_0 + c)\widehat{V}_0^{-1/2})$, where $\widehat{U}_0 = -\widehat{\Delta}_0/2$ and $\widehat{V}_0 = \widehat{\Delta}_1 + Np\hat{a}_2/(N_1N_2)$.

The following theorem is provided by the consistency of estimators $\widehat{\Delta}_0, \widehat{\Delta}_1$ and $\hat{a}_2$, continuous mapping theorem and dominated convergence theorem.

Theorem 2.1 *Under assumptions* (A1) - (A3)

$$\Phi\left((\widehat{U}_0+c)\widehat{V}_0^{-1/2}\right)\xrightarrow{P} e(2|1) \quad \textit{and} \quad \mathrm{E}\left[\Phi\left((\widehat{U}_0+c)\widehat{V}_0^{-1/2}\right)\right]\to e(2|1).$$

By the results of Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 2.1, the **M1**-based cut-off point for EDDR using $T(\boldsymbol{x})$ is provided by

$$\hat{c}_1=\widehat{V}_0^{1/2}z_\alpha-\widehat{U}_0,$$

where z_α is the α-percentile of $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$ and $\alpha\in(0,1)$.

3 Asymptotic distribution of the conditional misclassification error

Our objective in this section is to establish the asymptotic distribution of $ce(2|1)$, for which we need some auxiliary notations and assumptions. We begin by modifying the high-dimensional asymptotic framework from Section 2 by replacing the Assumption (A3) with (B3) as follows:

$$\text{(B3)}: \lim_{(n,p)\to\infty}\frac{\Delta_i}{n}\to 0, i=2,\cdots,5, \quad \lim_{(n,p)\to\infty}\frac{a_i}{n}\to 0, i=3,\cdots,6.$$

As $ce(2|1)$ is a function of the variable set of (U,V), we first obtain the joint asymptotic distribution of (U,V).

Lemma 3.1 *Let* $\widetilde{U}=U+(N_1-N_2)p\hat{a}_1/(N_1N_2)$. *Then under assumptions* (A1), (A2) *and* (B3) *the following holds*

$$\sqrt{n}\left\{\begin{pmatrix}\widetilde{U}\\ V\end{pmatrix}-\begin{pmatrix}U_0\\ V_0\end{pmatrix}\right\}\xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}}\mathcal{N}_2(\mathbf{0},\Theta),$$

where

$$\Theta=n\begin{pmatrix}H_U(\Delta_1,a_2) & H_{UV}(\Delta_2,a_3)\\ H_{UV}(\Delta_2,a_3) & H_V(\Delta_3,a_4)\end{pmatrix},$$

$$H_{UV}(\Delta_2,a_3)=-\frac{2}{N_2}\Delta_2-\frac{N(N_1-N_2)pa_3}{(N_1N_2)^2},$$

and $\xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}}$ *denotes convergence in distribution.*

(Proof)

Let d_1 and d_2 denote two non-random values which satisfy $0<\lim_{(n,p)\to\infty}|d_1|<\infty$ and $0<\lim_{(n,p)\to\infty}|d_2|<\infty$, and introduce the statistic Q which is defined as the linear combination of $\widetilde{U}$ and V.

$$Q=\sqrt{n}\left\{d_1\left(\widetilde{U}+\frac{1}{2}\Delta_0\right)+d_2\left(V-\Delta_1-\frac{Npa_2}{N_1N_2}\right)\right\}.$$

The asymptotic normality of Q would imply that the joint distribution of $\widetilde{U}$ and V is asymptotically normal. Thus, Lemma 3.1 will be proven if we show the normal convergence of Q under (A1), (A2) and (B3). We introduced the following notations

$$\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{\omega}_1 &= \frac{d_1\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{N}}\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}\Gamma'\boldsymbol{\delta}, \\
\boldsymbol{\omega}_2 &= \frac{2d_2\sqrt{nN}}{\sqrt{N_1N_2}}\Lambda^{3/2}\Gamma'\boldsymbol{\delta} - \frac{d_1\sqrt{nN_1}}{\sqrt{NN_2}}\Lambda^{1/2}\Gamma'\boldsymbol{\delta}, \\
\Omega_3 &= \frac{d_1\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{N_1N_2}}\Lambda, \\
\Omega_4 &= \frac{d_2\sqrt{n}N}{N_1N_2}\Lambda^2 - \frac{d_1\sqrt{n}(N_1-N_2)}{2N_1N_2}\Lambda.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, since $\hat{a}_1 - a_1 = O_p(n^{-1})$ by (2.14), the statistic Q can be expressed as

$$Q = \boldsymbol{\omega}_1'\boldsymbol{z_1} + \boldsymbol{\omega}_2'\boldsymbol{z_2} + \boldsymbol{z_1}'\Omega_3\boldsymbol{z_2} + \boldsymbol{z_2}'\Omega_4\boldsymbol{z_2} + o_p(1).$$

Note also that

$$\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{\omega}_1'\boldsymbol{\omega}_1 &= \frac{d_1^2n}{N}\boldsymbol{\delta}'\Sigma\boldsymbol{\delta}, \\
\boldsymbol{\omega}_2'\boldsymbol{\omega}_2 &= \frac{4d_2^2nN}{N_1N_2}\boldsymbol{\delta}'\Sigma^3\boldsymbol{\delta} + \frac{d_1^2nN_1}{NN_2}\boldsymbol{\delta}'\Sigma\boldsymbol{\delta} - \frac{4d_1d_2n}{N_2}\boldsymbol{\delta}'\Sigma^2\boldsymbol{\delta}, \\
\operatorname{tr}\Omega_3^2 &= \frac{d_1^2n}{N_1N_2}\operatorname{tr}\Sigma^2, \\
\operatorname{tr}\Omega_4^2 &= \frac{d_2^2nN^2}{N_1^2N_2^2}\operatorname{tr}\Sigma^4 + \frac{d_1^2n(N_1-N_2)^2}{4N_1^2N_2^2}\operatorname{tr}\Sigma^2 - \frac{d_1d_2n(N_1^2-N_2^2)}{N_1^2N_2^2}\operatorname{tr}\Sigma^3.
\end{aligned}$$

By combining these terms, we now obtain the asymptotic variance of Q as

$$\sigma_Q^2 = \lim_{(n,p)\to\infty} n\{d_1^2H_U(\Delta_1,a_2) - 2d_1d_2H_{UV}(\Delta_2,a_3) + d_2^2H_V(\Delta_3,a_4)\}$$

and observe that (A1), (A2) and (B3)

$$0 < \sigma_Q^2 < \infty. \tag{3.1}$$

Furthermore, the following convergence results hold

$$\boldsymbol{\omega}_1'\Omega_3\boldsymbol{\omega}_2 \to 0,\ \boldsymbol{\omega}_2'\Omega_4\boldsymbol{\omega}_2 \to 0,\ \operatorname{tr}\Omega_3^2\Omega_4 \to 0 \text{ and } \operatorname{tr}\Omega_4^3 \to 0. \tag{3.2}$$

Now by using (3.1) and (3.2), and by applying (A.1) from Lemma A.1 (see Appendix), we obtain

$$\frac{\boldsymbol{\omega}_1'\Omega_3\boldsymbol{\omega}_2}{\sigma_Q^3} \to 0,\ \frac{\boldsymbol{\omega}_2'\Omega_4\boldsymbol{\omega}_2}{\sigma_Q^3} \to 0,\ \frac{\operatorname{tr}\Omega_3^2\Omega_4}{\sigma_Q^3} \to 0 \text{ and } \frac{\operatorname{tr}\Omega_4^3}{\sigma_Q^3} \to 0. \tag{3.3}$$

(3.3) in combination with Lemma A.1 show that the asymptotic normality of Q holds, which completes the proof. □

Now we are ready to state our main results on the distribution of $ce(2|1)$. Besides the distribution of the latter we also find the asymptotic distribution of the logit transform of $ce(2|1)$. Our motivation to make this particular type of transform will be clear below.

Theorem 3.1 *Let the logit transform of* $ce(2|1)$ *be defined by*

$$\ell(2|1) = \log \frac{ce(2|1)}{1 - ce(2|1)}$$

and let the operator $\nabla_{(u,v)}(\cdot)$ *for a function* $f(u,v)$ *be defined as*

$$\nabla_{(u,v)} f(u,v) = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial u}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial v} \right)'.$$

Then in the framework (A1), (A2) *and* (B3) $ce(2|1)$ *and* $\ell(2|1)$ *are asymptotically normal, i.e.*

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{(i)} \quad ce(2 \mid 1) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{N}\left(e_0, \tau^2\right), \\ &\text{(ii)} \quad \ell(2 \mid 1) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{N}\left(\ell_0, \tau_\ell^2\right) \end{aligned}$$

with

$$e_0 = \Phi\left(\frac{U_0 + c}{V_0^{1/2}}\right), \ \ell_0 = \log \frac{e_0}{1 - e_0}, \ \tau^2 = \nabla'_{(U_0,V_0)} \Theta \nabla_{(U_0,V_0)}, \ \tau_\ell^2 = \frac{\tau^2}{(1 - e_0)e_0},$$

where $\nabla_{(U_0,V_0)}$ *is defined as*

$$\nabla_{(U_0,V_0)} = \left(V_0^{-1/2} \phi\left(\frac{U_0 + c}{\sqrt{V_0}}\right), -\frac{(U_0 + c)}{2V_0^{3/2}} \phi\left(\frac{U_0 + c}{\sqrt{V_0}}\right) \right)'.$$

(Proof) By using asymptotic normality of $(\widetilde{U}, V)$ and by applying Lemma A.4 (see Appendix) to the function

$$g(\widetilde{U}, V) = \Phi\left(\frac{\widetilde{U} + c}{V^{1/2}}\right)$$

it easily follows that

$$\nabla_{(\tilde{u},v)} g(\tilde{u}, v) = \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial \tilde{u}}, \frac{\partial g}{\partial v} \right)' = \left(v^{-1/2} \phi\left(\frac{\tilde{u} + c}{\sqrt{v}}\right), -\frac{(\tilde{u} + c)}{2v^{3/2}} \phi\left(\frac{\tilde{u} + c}{\sqrt{v}}\right) \right)'.$$

Then we obtain

$$ce(2|1) = g(\widetilde{U}, V) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{N}(\Phi((U_0 + c)V_0^{-1/2}), \nabla'_{(U_0,V_0)} \Theta \nabla_{(U_0,V_0)}).$$

The statement (ii) can be proven similarly. □

Now we are ready to explore the determination method **M2** which chooses the cut-off point c to get the desired level of confidence $1 - \beta$ of a pre-specified upper bound eu. By

the asymptotic normality of $ce(2|1)$ and $\ell(2|1)$, we propose to set the cut-off points for the EDDR using $T(\boldsymbol{x})$ as

$$\text{(i)} \quad c_{2,1} \quad s.t. \quad c_{2,1} = \frac{-U_0 + V_0^{1/2} z_\gamma}{a_1},$$
$$\text{(ii)} \quad c_{2,2} \quad s.t. \quad c_{2,2} = \frac{-U_0 + V_0^{1/2} z_{\gamma_\ell}}{a_1},$$

where

$$\gamma = eu - \tau z_{1-\beta}, \ \gamma_\ell = \frac{eu}{(1-eu)\exp(\tau_\ell z_{1-\beta}) + eu}.$$

Remark 3.1 If $\gamma \notin [0,1]$ then (i) is not defined. This motivates our logit trance form of $ce(2|1)$ which yields the result (ii) where $\gamma_\ell \in [0,1]$ always.

For practical use, the unknown parameters Δ_0, Δ_1, Δ_2, Δ_3, a_1, a_2, a_3 and a_4 in (i)-(ii) should be replaced by their consistent estimators. To ensure consistency, the asymptotic framework (A1)-(A3) is modified by replacing (A3) with

$$(\text{B}'3) : 0 < \lim_{(n,p)\to\infty} a_i < \infty, \ i = 3, \ldots, 8, \quad 0 < \lim_{(n,p)\to\infty} \Delta_i < \infty, \ i = 2, \ldots, 7.$$

By the consistency results of Lemma A.5 and A.6 (see Appendix), obtained under the assumptions (A1), (A2) and (B′3), we now propose the **M2**-based cut-off point estimator as,

$$\text{(i)} \quad \hat{c}_{2,1} \quad s.t. \quad \hat{c}_{2,1} = \frac{-\widehat{U}_0 + \widehat{V}_0^{1/2} z_{\hat{\gamma}}}{\hat{a}_1},$$
$$\text{(ii)} \quad \hat{c}_{2,2} \quad s.t. \quad \hat{c}_{2,2} = \frac{-\widehat{U}_0 + \widehat{V}_0^{1/2} z_{\hat{\gamma}_\ell}}{\hat{a}_1},$$

where

$$\hat{\gamma} = eu - \hat{\tau} z_{1-\beta}, \ \hat{\gamma}_\ell = \frac{eu}{(1-eu)\exp(\hat{\tau}_\ell z_{1-\beta}) + eu}.$$

Remark 3.2 The problem described in Remark 3.1 remains for $\hat{\gamma}$. Therefore for practical use we recommend to replace $\hat{\gamma}$ with $\hat{\gamma}_\ell$ when the observed value of $\hat{\gamma} \notin [0,1]$.

4 Simulation study

We now turn to numerical evaluation of the asymptotic results and the suggested cut-off points. The goal of the simulation experiment is threefold: to investigate the finite sample

behaviour of newly derived asymptotic approximations, to compare the performance of our approach under independence with that for dependent data with various dependence strength, and to investigate the effect of choice of the confidence level in combination with the upper bound specification.

The data sets for each Π_g, $g = 1, 2$ are independently generated as

$$\boldsymbol{x}_{11}, \boldsymbol{x}_{12}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}_{1N_1} \overset{i.i.d.}{\sim} \mathcal{N}_p(\boldsymbol{\mu}_1, \Sigma), \quad \boldsymbol{x}_{21}, \boldsymbol{x}_{22}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}_{2N_2} \overset{i.i.d.}{\sim} \mathcal{N}_p(\boldsymbol{\mu}_2, \Sigma), \tag{4.1}$$

respectively. To assess the performance for dependent data, Σ will be assumed to have band correlation $\Sigma = (\sigma_{ij})$,

$$\sigma_{ij} = \begin{cases} \rho^{|i-j|}, & |i-j| \leq 50, \\ 0, & |i-j| > 50, \end{cases}$$

with ρ ranging from 0 to 0.5, which is chosen to fulfill the condition (A2). To constrain the classification complexity, we set

$$\Sigma^{-1/2}\boldsymbol{\mu}_1 = (p)^{-1/2}(5^{1/2}, 5^{1/2}, \ldots, 5^{1/2})' \quad \text{and} \quad \boldsymbol{\mu}_2 = (0, 0, \ldots, 0)',$$

through the whole simulation experiment.

To evaluate the effect of high-dimensionality and sample size, we let $p = 64, 128, 256, 512, 1024$ and $N_1 = N_2$, $N = 64, 128, 256$ for each choice of ρ.

First, as in the previous sections, we focus without loss of generality on evaluation of $ce(2|1)$. For each triple (p, N, ρ), we generate data according to (4.1), apply EDDR given by $T(\boldsymbol{x})$ in (1.3) with both **M1**-based cut-offs, $\hat{c}_1$ established in Section 2, and repeat the whole process independently 100 000 times. As a result, we get 100 000 conditional classification errors of $T(\boldsymbol{x})$:

$$C^{(i)} = \Phi\left(\frac{U^{(i)} + (N_2^{-1} - N_1^{-1})p\hat{a}_1^{(i)}/2 + \hat{c}_1^{(i)}}{\sqrt{V^{(i)}}}\right), \quad i = 1, \ldots, 100\ 000,$$

which after averaging provides *attained error rate*

$$ae(\hat{c}_1) = \frac{1}{100\ 000}\sum_{i=1}^{100\ 000} C^{(i)}.$$

This result, being summarized in Table 1 through Table 9, suggest that the EDDR based on $\hat{c}_1$ is optimally adaptive in a sense that its performance accuracy is closely approaching the actual value of the misclassification, α. Stably good result is obtained when varying the dependence strength ρ and the value of the actual error α, in both large sample and high-dimensional cases.

To evaluate the performance of the **M2**-based cut-offs we use the simulation setting (4.1), with the same variety of covariance strength, a range of β varying between 0.01 to 0.1 representing higher respective lower confidence levels, and two values of eu, 0.1 and 0.2 representing the upper bound on the actual misclassification probability. We summarize the combination of the values of $1-\beta$ and eu in Table 10. Then for each setting,

the classification procedure by $T(\boldsymbol{x})$ with cut-offs $\hat{c}_{2,1}$ and $\hat{c}_{2,2}$ in section 3, respectively. Proceeding with the same simulation strategy as above for each cut-off choice, we consider the *attained confidence level*

$$acl(\hat{c}_{2,i}) = \frac{\#\left\{\Phi\left(\{U + (N_2^{-1} - N_1^{-1})\hat{a}_1/2 + \hat{c}_{2,i}\}/\sqrt{V}\right) \leq eu\right\}}{100\ 000},\ i = 1, 2,$$

which is obtained by averaging the observed confidence level of $ce(2|1)$ of $T(\boldsymbol{x})$ with $\hat{c}_{2,i}$ for each, i, over 100 000 independent replicates of the data generation step, estimation of parameters and classification. This result, being summarized in Table 11 through Table 28. In most tables, the case in using $\hat{c}_{2,2}$ is better accuracy than the case in using $\hat{c}_{2,1}$, and conservative.

5 Conclusion

This paper contributes to the asymptotic analyses of the EDDR performance in high-dimensional data, with particular focus on determining a cut-off point to adjust the probabilities of misclassification. Two generic cut-off determination approaches, **M1** based on the expected error and **M2** based on the upper bound of the actual misclassification probability, eu with the specified confidence level $1 - \beta$, are proposed.

To establish the cut-off by **M1**, an approximation of the expected misclassification error along with its asymptotic unbiased estimator, is derived; our result extends the approach of Anderson (1973) by considering a more general asymptotic set-up that allows $p > N$. Subsequently, the cut-off based on the main term of the asymptotic expression is suggested.

To set up the cut-off based on **M2**, the asymptotic normality of the conditional misclassification error and its logit transform are established for a given β and eu in high-dimensions. Based on the asymptotic results, two types of cut-offs are also established. Our newly derived results extend the asymptotic consideration by McLachlan (1977) to a high-dimensional case.

For both **M1** and **M2** approaches, the practically workable expressions of the theoretical cut-offs are established, for which we obtain consistent and asymptotic unbiased estimators of a set of unknown parameters. The validity of the new asymptotic results in a finite sample case is numerically shown by applying the cut-offs in the suggested EDDR classifier $T(\boldsymbol{x})$ for a range of confidence levels, various strength of correlation and a set of p and N values.

As the both suggested cut-off determination procedures demonstrate stably good accuracy in high dimensions, they can generally be recommended for practical applications in distance-based classifiers, with EDDR as special case, when it is desired to set a cut-off point to achieve a specified misclassification error.

Acknowledgments. The authors thank Professor Makoto Aoshima and Professor Yasunori Fujikoshi for extensive discussions, references and encouragements. The research of Tatjana Pavlenko is in part supported by the grant 2013-45266 VR of Sweden. The research of Takashi Seo was supported in part by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C)

(23500360). The research of Masashi Hyodo is in part supported by the Stiftelsen G.S. Magnuson travel grant (2013), the Royal Swedish Academy of Sciences.

Appendix

Lemma A. 1 (The central limit theorem for quadratic forms) *Let $\boldsymbol{z}_1$ and $\boldsymbol{z}_2$ be independent, $\mathcal{N}_p(\boldsymbol{0}, I_p)$ distributed random variables, $\boldsymbol{\omega}_i$ $(i = 1, 2)$ be arbitrary non-random p-dimensional vectors and Ω_i $(i = 3, 4)$ be arbitrary non-random $p \times p$ diagonal matrices. Define $K = \boldsymbol{\omega}_1'\boldsymbol{z}_1 + \boldsymbol{\omega}_2'\boldsymbol{z}_2 + \boldsymbol{z}_1'\Omega_3\boldsymbol{z}_2 + (\boldsymbol{z}_2'\Omega_4\boldsymbol{z}_2 - \mathrm{tr}\,\Omega_4)$ with $\sigma_K^2 = \boldsymbol{\omega}_1'\boldsymbol{\omega}_1 + \boldsymbol{\omega}_2'\boldsymbol{\omega}_2 + \mathrm{tr}\,\Omega_3^2 + 2\mathrm{tr}\,\Omega_4^2$. If the following limiting conditions are fulfilled*

$$\frac{\boldsymbol{\omega}_1'\Omega_3\boldsymbol{\omega}_2}{\sigma_K^3} \to 0,\ \frac{\boldsymbol{\omega}_2'\Omega_4\boldsymbol{\omega}_2}{\sigma_K^3} \to 0,\ \frac{\mathrm{tr}\,\Omega_3^2\Omega_4}{\sigma_K^3} \to 0 \text{ and } \frac{\mathrm{tr}\,\Omega_4^3}{\sigma_K^3} \to 0, \tag{A. 1}$$

then $K/\sigma_K \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ as $p \to \infty$.

(Proof)

Let $\omega_{ij}(i = 1, 2)$ be the *j-th* element of $\boldsymbol{\omega}_i, \omega_{ij}(i = 3, 4)$ be the *j-th* diagonal element of Ω_j and $z_{ij}(i = 1, 2)$ be the *j-th* element of $\boldsymbol{z}_i$. K can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} K &= \boldsymbol{\omega}_1'\boldsymbol{z}_1 + \boldsymbol{\omega}_2'\boldsymbol{z}_2 + \boldsymbol{z}_1'\Omega_3\boldsymbol{z}_2 + (\boldsymbol{z}_2'\Omega_4\boldsymbol{z}_2 - \mathrm{tr}\,\Omega_4) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{p} \omega_{1i}z_{1i} + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \omega_{2i}z_{2i} + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \omega_{3i}z_{1i}z_{2i} + \sum_{i=1}^{p} (\omega_{4i}z_{2i}^2 - \omega_{4i}). \end{aligned}$$

Consider $\varepsilon_i = \omega_{1i}z_{1i} + \omega_{2i}z_{2i} + \omega_{3i}z_{1i}z_{2i} + \omega_{4i}z_{2i}^2 - \omega_{4i},\ (i = 1, 2, \ldots, p)$ and note that $\{\varepsilon_i\}_{i=1}^p$ is a sequence of i.i.d. random variables such that $K = \sum_{i=1}^p \varepsilon_i$ and the third moment of ε_i is given by

$$\mathrm{E}[\varepsilon_i^3] = 2(3\omega_{1i}\omega_{2i}\omega_{3i} + 3\omega_{2i}^2\omega_{4i} + 3\omega_{3i}^2\omega_{4i} + 4\omega_{4i}^3).$$

Then to ensure that $K/\sigma_K \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$, we consider the Lyapunov-based sufficient condition for the sequences $\{\varepsilon_i\}_{i=1}^p$ which states that there exists such $\eta > 0$ that

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^p \mathrm{E}[\varepsilon_i^{2+\eta}]}{\sigma_K^{2+\eta}} \to 0 \text{ as } p \to \infty. \tag{A. 2}$$

For now, we check (A. 2) with $\eta = 1$. Based on the third moment of ε_i, we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p} \mathrm{E}[\varepsilon_i^3] = 2(3\boldsymbol{\omega}_1'\Omega_3\boldsymbol{\omega}_2 + 3\boldsymbol{\omega}_2'\Omega_4\boldsymbol{\omega}_2 + 3\mathrm{tr}\,\Omega_3^2\Omega_4 + 4\mathrm{tr}\,\Omega_4^3). \tag{A. 3}$$

From (A. 3) and the condition (A. 1),

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^p \mathrm{E}[\varepsilon_i^3]}{\sigma_K^3} \to 0$$

as $p \to \infty$, from which the convergence $K/\sigma_K \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ follows. □

Lemma A. 2 (Higher order moments of the traces of Wishart matrices) *Let W be distributed as $\mathcal{W}_p(n,\Sigma)$, where $\mathcal{W}_p$ denoted Wishart distribution with freedom parameter n and scale parameter Σ. Let A and B denote $p\times p$ symmetric non-random matrices. Then the following assertions hold:*

(i) $E[(\mathrm{tr}\,AW)(\mathrm{tr}\,BW)] = n^2\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma A\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma B + 2n\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma A\Sigma B,$

(ii) $E[\mathrm{tr}\,AWBW] = (n^2+n)\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma A\Sigma B + n\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma A\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma B,$

(iii) $E[(\mathrm{tr}\,AW^3)] = (n^3+3n^2+4n)\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^3 A + (n^2+n)\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^2\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma A$
$+ 2n(n+1)\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^2 A + n(\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma)^2\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma A,$

(iv) $\mathrm{E}[(\mathrm{tr}\,AW^2)(\mathrm{tr}\,BW^2)] = n(n^2+n+2)(n+1)\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^2 A\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^2 B$
$+ (\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma)^2\{n^2\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma A\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma B + 2n\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma A\Sigma B\}$
$+ \mathrm{tr}\,(\Sigma)\{n(n^2+n+2)\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^2 A\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma B$
$+ n(n^2+n+2)\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma A\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^2 B + 8n(n+1)\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^2 A\Sigma B\}$
$+ \mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^2(2n\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma A\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma B + 2n(n+1)\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma A\Sigma B)$
$+ 4n(n+1)^2\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^2 A\Sigma^2 B + 4n(n^2+3n+4)\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^3 A\Sigma B$
$+ 4n(n+1)(\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^3 A\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma B + \mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma A\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^3 B),$

(v) $\mathrm{E}[\mathrm{tr}\,AW^2BW^2] = 2n(n+1)^2\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^2 A\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^2 B + n(n^2+3n+4)(n+1)\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^2 A\Sigma^2 B$
$+ (\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma)^2(n\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma A\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma B + n(n+1)\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma A\Sigma B)$
$+ \mathrm{tr}\,(\Sigma)\{2n(n+1)(\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^2 A\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma B + \mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma A\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^2 B)$
$+ 2n(n^2+3n+4)\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^2 A\Sigma B\}$
$+ \mathrm{tr}\,(\Sigma^2)\{n(n+1)\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma A\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma B + n(n+3)\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma A\Sigma B\}$
$+ n(n^2+3n+4)(\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^3 A\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma B + \mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma A\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^3 B)$
$+ 2n(n^2+7n+8)\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^3 A\Sigma B,$

(vi) $E[(\mathrm{tr}\,AW^3)(\mathrm{tr}\,BW^3)] = (n^2\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma A\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma B + 2n\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma A\Sigma B)(\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma)^4$
$+ \{2n(n^2+n+2)(\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma B\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^2 A + \mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma A\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^2 B)$
$+ 16n(n+1)\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^2 A\Sigma B\}(\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma)^3$
$+ \{2n(n^2+n+4)\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma A\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma B\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^2$
$+ 12n(n+1)\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma A\Sigma B\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^2$
$+ 4n(n+1)(n^2+n+4)\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^2 A\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^2 B$
$+ n(n^3+3n+24n+20)(\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma B\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^3 A + \mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma A\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^3 B)$
$+ 4n(5n^2+11n+8)\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^2 A\Sigma^2 B$
$+ 24n(n^2+3n+4)\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^3 A\Sigma B\}(\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma)^2$
$+ \{2n(n+1)(n^2+n+10)$
$\times(\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma B\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^2\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^2 A + \mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma A\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^2\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^2 B)$
$+ 2n(n^4+4n^3+21n^2+38n+32)\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^3 B\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^2 A$
$+ 16n(n+1)\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma A\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma B\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^3$
$+ 8n(n^2+3n+4)\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^3\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma A\Sigma B$
$+ 2n(n^4+4n^3+21n^2+38n+32)\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^2 B\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^3 A$
$+ 16n(2n^2+5n+5)\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^2\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^2 A\Sigma B$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+ 4n(7n^2+19n+22)(\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma B\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^4 A + \mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma A\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^4 B)\\
&+ 16n(2n^3+9n^2+21n+16)\mathrm{tr}\,(\Sigma^3 A\Sigma^2 B)\\
&+ 16n(n^3+6n^2+21n+20)\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^4 A\Sigma B\}\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma\\
&+ n(n+1)(n^2+n+4)\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma A\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma B(\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^2)^2\\
&+ 4n(5n^2+11n+8)\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^2\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^2 A\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^2 B\\
&+ 4n(3n^2+7n+6)(\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma B\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^2 A + \mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma A\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^2 B)\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^3\\
&+ 2n(2n^2+5n+5)(\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^2)^2\mathrm{tr}\,(\Sigma A\Sigma B)\\
&+ n(n^4+4n^3+19n^2+36n+36)\\
&\times (\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma B\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^3 A + \mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma A\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^3 B)\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^2\\
&+ n(n^5+6n^4+27n^3+74n^2+156n+120)\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^3 A\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^3 B\\
&+ 4n(2n^2+5n+5)\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma A\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma B\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^4\\
&+ 2n(n^3+6n^2+21n+20)\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma A\Sigma B\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^4\\
&+ 8n(n^3+5n^2+14n+12)\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^3\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^2 A\Sigma B\\
&+ 4n(2n^3+9n^2+21n+16)\\
&\times (2\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^2 B\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^4 A + 2\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^2 A\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^4 B + \mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^2\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^2 A\Sigma^2 B)\\
&+ 12n(n^3+5n^2+14n+12)\\
&\times (\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^2\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^3 A\Sigma B + \mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma B\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^5 A + \mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma A\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^5 B)\\
&+ 2n(3n^4+20n^3+77n^2+152n+132)\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^3 A\Sigma^3 B\\
&+ 8n(n^4+8n^3+39n^2+80n+64)\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^4 A\Sigma^2 B\\
&+ 4n(n^4+10n^3+65n^2+160n+148)\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^5 A\Sigma B,
\end{aligned}
$$

(vii)
$$
\begin{aligned}
E[\mathrm{tr}\,AW^3BW^3] &= \{(n^2+n)\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma A\Sigma B + n\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma A\Sigma B\}(\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma)^4\\
&+ \{4n(n+1)(\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma B\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^2 A + \mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma A\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^2 B)\\
&+ 4n(n^2+3n+4)\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^2 A\Sigma B\}(\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma)^3\\
&+ \{6n(n+1)\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma A\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma B\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^2\\
&+ 2n(n^2+4n+7)\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma A\Sigma B\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^2\\
&+ 2n(5n^2+11n+8)\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^2 A\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^2 B\\
&+ 6n(n^2+3n+4)(\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma B\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^3 A + \mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma A\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^3 B)\\
&+ 2n(2n^3+9n^2+21n+16)\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^2 A\Sigma^2 B\\
&+ 2n(n^3+9n^2+42n+44)\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^3 A\Sigma B\}(\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma)^2\\
&+ \{4n(2n^2+5n+5)(\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma B\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^2 A + \mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma A\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^2 B)\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^2\\
&+ 4n((2n^3+9n^2+21n+16)(\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^3 B\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^2 A + \mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^2 B\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^3 A)\\
&+ 4n(n^2+3n+4)\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma A\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma B\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^3\\
&+ 4n(n^2+7n+8)\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^3\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma A\Sigma B\\
&+ 4n(n^3+6n^2+21n+20)\\
&\times (\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^2\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^2 A\Sigma B + \mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma B\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^4 A + \mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma A\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^4 B)\\
&+ 4n(n^4+8n^3+39n^2+80n+64)\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^3 A\Sigma^2 B\\
&+ 8n(n^3+13n^2+40n+42)\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^4 A\Sigma B\}\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma\\
&+ n(2n^2+5n+5)\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma A\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma B(\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^2)^2
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+ 2n(2n^3 + 9n^2 + 21n + 16)\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^2\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^2 A\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^2 B \\
&+ n(n^3 + 5n^2 + 14n + 12) \\
&\times \{2(\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma B\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^2 A + \mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma A\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^2 B)\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^3 \\
&+ 3(\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma B\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^2\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^3 A + \mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma A\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^2\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^3 B)\} \\
&+ n(n^3 + 4n^2 + 10n + 9)(\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^2)^2\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma A\Sigma B \\
&+ n(3n^4 + 20n^3 + 77n^2 + 152n + 132)\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^3 A\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^3 B \\
&+ n(n^3 + 6n^2 + 21n + 20)\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma A\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma B\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^4 \\
&+ n(n^3 + 14n^2 + 41n + 40)\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma A\Sigma B\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^4 \\
&+ 4n(n^3 + 11n^2 + 28n + 24)\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^3\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^2 A\Sigma B \\
&+ 2n(n^4 + 8n^3 + 39n^2 + 80n + 64) \\
&\times (\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^2 B\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^4 A + \mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^2 A\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^4 B) \\
&+ 2n(2n^3 + 19n^2 + 43n + 32)\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^2\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^2 A\Sigma^2 B \\
&+ 2n(n^5 + 7n^4 + 34n^3 + 78n^2 + 72)\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^2\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^3 A\Sigma B \\
&+ n(n^4 + 10n^3 + 65n^2 + 160n + 148) \\
&\times (\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma B\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^5 A + \mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma A\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^5 B) \\
&+ n(n^5 + 9n^4 + 47n^3 + 151n^2 + 308n + 252)\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^3 A\Sigma^3 B \\
&+ 4n(n^4 + 16n^3 + 75n^2 + 164n + 128)\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^4 A\Sigma^2 B \\
&+ 2n(n^4 + 22n^3 + 125n^2 + 328n + 292)\mathrm{tr}\,\Sigma^5 A\Sigma B.
\end{aligned}
$$

(Proof) The proof of assertions (i)-(vii) follows directly by applying the technique derived in Lemma A.2, in Hyodo et al. (2012). □

Lemma A. 3 (Moments of quadratic form) *Let $\boldsymbol{x}$ be distributed $\mathcal{N}_p(\mathbf{0}, I_p)$. Then the following assertions hold:*

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\text{(i)} \quad \mathrm{E}[\boldsymbol{x}'A\boldsymbol{x}] = \mathrm{tr}\,A, \\
&\text{(ii)} \quad \mathrm{E}[\boldsymbol{x}'A\boldsymbol{x}\boldsymbol{x}'B\boldsymbol{x}] = 2\mathrm{tr}\,AB + \mathrm{tr}\,A\mathrm{tr}\,B,
\end{aligned}
$$

where A and B are $p \times p$ non-random symmetric matrices.

(Proof) See, Gupta and Nagar (1999).

Lemma A. 4 (Multivariate Delta Method) *Suppose that $\boldsymbol{y}_n = (y_{n1}, \ldots, y_{nk})'$ is a sequence of the random vectors such that*

$$
\sqrt{n}(\boldsymbol{y}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{N}_k(\mathbf{0}, \Theta) \text{ as } n \to \infty,
$$

where $\boldsymbol{\mu} = (\mu_1, \cdots, \mu_k)'$ is the asymptotic mean vector and Θ is the asymptotic covariance matrix which is assumed to be positive definite. Let $g : \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}$ and g is continuously differentiable. Let

$$
\nabla g(\boldsymbol{y}) = \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial y_1}, \cdots, \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_k}\right)'.
$$

Let ∇_μ denote $\nabla g(\boldsymbol{y})$ evaluated at $\boldsymbol{y}=\boldsymbol{\mu}$ and assume that the elements of ∇_μ are nonzero. Then it holds that

$$\sqrt{n}(g(\boldsymbol{y}_n)-g(\boldsymbol{\mu}))\to\mathcal{N}(0,\nabla_\mu'\Theta\nabla_\mu)\ as\ n\to\infty.$$

(Proof) See, Rao (1973).

Lemma A. 5 (The consistent estimators of a_3 and a_4) *The consistent estimators of a_3 and a_4 are*

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{a}_3 &= \frac{n^2}{(n+4)(n+2)(n-1)(n-2)p}\{n^2\mathrm{tr}\,S^3-3n\mathrm{tr}\,S^2\mathrm{tr}\,S+2(\mathrm{tr}\,S)^3\},\\ \hat{a}_4 &= \frac{1}{p}\{b_1\mathrm{tr}\,S^4+b_2\mathrm{tr}\,S^3\mathrm{tr}\,S+b_3(\mathrm{tr}\,S^2)^2+b_4(\mathrm{tr}\,S)^2\mathrm{tr}\,S^2+b_5(\mathrm{tr}\,S)^4\},\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}b_1 &= \frac{n^5(n^2+n+2)}{(n+6)(n+4)(n+2)(n+1)(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)},\\ b_2 &= -\frac{4n^4(n^2+n+2)}{(n+6)(n+4)(n+2)(n+1)(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)},\\ b_3 &= -\frac{n^4(2n^2+3n-6)}{(n+6)(n+4)(n+2)(n+1)(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)},\\ b_4 &= \frac{2n^4(5n+6)}{(n+6)(n+4)(n+2)(n+1)(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)},\\ b_5 &= -\frac{n^3(5n+6)}{(n+6)(n+4)(n+2)(n+1)(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)}.\end{aligned}$$

(Proof) See, Hyodo et al. (2012).

Lemma A. 6 (The consistent estimators of Δ_2 and Δ_3) *The consistent estimators of Δ_2 and Δ_3 are*

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\Delta}_2 &= \left(1+\frac{1}{n}\right)^{-1}\left\{\widehat{\boldsymbol{\delta}}'S^2\widehat{\boldsymbol{\delta}}-\frac{p}{n}\hat{a}_1\widehat{\Delta}_1-\frac{Np}{N_1N_2}\left(\frac{n+1}{n}\hat{a}_3+\frac{p}{n}\hat{a}_1\hat{a}_2\right)\right\},\\ \widehat{\Delta}_3 &= \left(\frac{n(n+3)+4}{n^2}\right)^{-1}\left\{\widehat{\boldsymbol{\delta}}'S^3\widehat{\boldsymbol{\delta}}-\frac{(n+1)p}{n^2}\hat{a}_2\widehat{\Delta}_1^2-\frac{2(n+1)p}{n^2}\hat{a}_1\widehat{\Delta}_2^2-\frac{p^2}{n^2}\hat{a}_1^2\widehat{\Delta}_1^2\right.\\ &\quad\left.-\frac{Np}{N_1N_2}\left(\frac{n(n+3)+4}{n^2}\hat{a}_4+\frac{(n+1)p}{n^2}\hat{a}_2^2+\frac{2(n+1)p}{n^2}\hat{a}_1\hat{a}_3+\frac{p^2}{n^2}\hat{a}_1^2\hat{a}_2\right)\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

(Proof) We consider following random variables

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\Delta}_2 &= \left(1+\frac{1}{n}\right)^{-1}\left\{\widehat{\boldsymbol{\delta}}'S^2\widehat{\boldsymbol{\delta}}-\frac{p}{n}a_1\Delta_1-\frac{Np}{N_1N_2}\left(\frac{n+1}{n}a_3+\frac{p}{n}a_1a_2\right)\right\},\\ \widetilde{\Delta}_3 &= \left(\frac{n(n+3)+4}{n^2}\right)^{-1}\left\{\widehat{\boldsymbol{\delta}}'S^3\widehat{\boldsymbol{\delta}}-\frac{(n+1)p}{n^2}a_2\Delta_1-\frac{2(n+1)p}{n^2}a_1\Delta_2-\frac{p^2}{n^2}a_1^2\Delta_1\right.\\ &\quad\left.-\frac{Np}{N_1N_2}\left(\frac{n(n+3)+4}{n^2}a_4+\frac{(n+1)p}{n^2}a_2^2+\frac{2(n+1)p}{n^2}a_1a_3+\frac{p^2}{n^2}a_1^2a_2\right)\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

Then the conditional moments of $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\delta}}' S^i \widehat{\boldsymbol{\delta}}$ are given by

$$E[\widehat{\boldsymbol{\delta}}' S^i \widehat{\boldsymbol{\delta}}|S] = \boldsymbol{\delta}' S^i \boldsymbol{\delta} + \frac{N}{N_1 N_2} \mathrm{tr}\, \Sigma S^i, \tag{A. 4}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E[(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\delta}}' S^i \widehat{\boldsymbol{\delta}})^2|S] &= (\boldsymbol{\delta}' S^i \boldsymbol{\delta})^2 + \frac{4N}{N_1 N_2} \boldsymbol{\delta}' S^i \Sigma S^i \boldsymbol{\delta} + \frac{N^2}{N_1^2 N_2^2} \{2(\mathrm{tr}\, \Sigma S^i \Sigma S^i) + (\mathrm{tr}\, \Sigma S^i)^2\} \\ &\quad + \frac{2N}{N_1 N_2} \mathrm{tr}\, \Sigma S^i \boldsymbol{\delta}' S^i \boldsymbol{\delta}. \end{aligned} \tag{A. 5}$$

By using (A.4), (A.5) and Lemma A.2, we can calculate the expectations of $\widetilde{\Delta}_2,\ \widetilde{\Delta}_3$ and these variances

$$\begin{aligned} E[\widetilde{\Delta}_2] &= \boldsymbol{\delta}' \Sigma^2 \boldsymbol{\delta},\ E[\widetilde{\Delta}_3] = \boldsymbol{\delta}' \Sigma^3 \boldsymbol{\delta}, \\ \mathrm{Var}[\widetilde{\Delta}_2] &= \frac{n^2}{(n+1)^2} \left\{ \frac{4N}{N_1 N_2} \Delta_5 + \frac{8Np}{nN_1 N_2} \Delta_4 a_1 + \frac{4}{n} \Delta_1 \Delta_3 + \frac{4Np}{nN_1 N_2} \Delta_3 a_2 \right. \\ &\quad + \frac{4Np^2}{n^2 N_1 N_2} \Delta_3 a_1^2 + \frac{4}{n} \Delta_2^2 + \frac{8p}{n^2} \Delta_1 \Delta_2 a_1 + \frac{8Np}{nN_1 N_2} \Delta_2 a_3 + \frac{8Np^2}{n^2 N_1 N_2} \Delta_2 a_1 a_2 \\ &\quad + \frac{2p}{n^2} \Delta_1^2 a_2 + \frac{2p^2}{n^3} \Delta_1^2 a_1^2 + \frac{4Np}{nN_1 N_2} \Delta_1 a_4 + \frac{8Np^2}{n^2 N_1 N_2} \Delta_1 a_1 a_3 + \frac{4Np^2}{n^2 N_1 N_2} \Delta_1 a_2^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{4Np^3}{n^3 N_1 N_2} \Delta_1 a_1^2 a_2 + \frac{2N^2 p}{N_1^2 N_2^2} a_6 + \frac{4N^2 p^2}{nN_1^2 N_2^2} a_1 a_5 + \frac{4N^2 p^2}{nN_1^2 N_2^2} a_2 a_4 \\ &\quad + \frac{2N^2 p^3}{n^2 N_1^2 N_2^2} a_1^2 a_4 + \frac{4N^2 p^2}{nN_1^2 N_2^2} a_3^2 + \frac{8N^2 p^3}{n^2 N_1^2 N_2^2} a_1 a_2 a_3 + \frac{2N^2 p^3}{n^2 N_1^2 N_2^2} a_2^3 \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{2N^2 p^4}{n^3 N_1^2 N_2^2} a_1^2 a_2^2 \right\} + o(n^{-1}), \\ \mathrm{Var}[\widetilde{\Delta}_3] &= \frac{n^4}{(n^2+3n+4)^2} \left\{ \frac{4N}{N_1 N_2} \Delta_7 + \frac{16Np}{nN_1 N_2} \Delta_6 a_1 + \frac{4}{n} \Delta_1 \Delta_5 + \frac{12Np}{nN_1 N_2} \Delta_5 a_2 \right. \\ &\quad + \frac{24Np^2}{n^2 N_1 N_2} \Delta_5 a_1^2 + \frac{8}{n} \Delta_2 \Delta_4 + \frac{16p}{n^2} \Delta_1 \Delta_4 a_1 + \frac{8Np}{nN_1 N_2} \Delta_4 a_3 \\ &\quad + \frac{32Np^2}{n^2 N_1 N_2} \Delta_4 a_1 a_2 + \frac{16Np^3}{n^3 N_1 N_2} \Delta_4 a_1^3 + \frac{6}{n} \Delta_3^2 + \frac{32p}{n^2} \Delta_2 \Delta_3 a_1 \\ &\quad + \frac{12p}{n^2} \Delta_1 \Delta_3 a_2 + \frac{24p^2}{n^3} \Delta_1 \Delta_3 a_1^2 + \frac{12Np}{nN_1 N_2} \Delta_3 a_4 + \frac{32Np^2}{n^2 N_1 N_2} \Delta_3 a_1 a_3 \\ &\quad + \frac{16Np^2}{n^2 N_1 N_2} \Delta_3 a_2^2 + \frac{32Np^3}{n^3 N_1 N_2} \Delta_3 a_1^2 a_2 + \frac{4Np^4}{n^4 N_1 N_2} \Delta_3 a_1^4 + \frac{8p}{n^2} \Delta_2^2 a_2 \\ &\quad + \frac{20p^2}{n^3} \Delta_2^2 a_1^2 + \frac{8p}{n^2} \Delta_1 \Delta_2 a_3 + \frac{32p^2}{n^3} \Delta_1 \Delta_2 a_1 a_2 + \frac{16p^3}{n^4} \Delta_1 \Delta_2 a_1^3 \\ &\quad + \frac{8Np}{nN_1 N_2} \Delta_2 a_5 + \frac{32Np^2}{n^2 N_1 N_2} \Delta_2 a_1 a_4 + \frac{24Np^2}{n^2 N_1 N_2} \Delta_2 a_2 a_3 + \frac{40Np^3}{n^3 N_1 N_2} \Delta_2 a_1^2 a_3 \\ &\quad + \frac{32Np^3}{n^3 N_1 N_2} \Delta_2 a_1 a_2^2 + \frac{16Np^4}{n^4 N_1 N_2} \Delta_2 a_1^3 a_2 + \frac{2p}{n^2} \Delta_1^2 a_4 + \frac{8p^2}{n^3} \Delta_1^2 a_1 a_3 \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\frac{4p^2}{n^3}\Delta_1^2 a_2^2+\frac{12p^3}{n^4}\Delta_1^2 a_1^2 a_2+\frac{2p^4}{n^5}\Delta_1^2 a_1^4+\frac{4Np}{nN_1N_2}\Delta_1 a_6+\frac{16Np^2}{n^2N_1N_2}\Delta_1 a_1 a_5\\
&+\frac{16Np^2}{n^2N_1N_2}\Delta_1 a_2 a_4+\frac{24Np^3}{n^3N_1N_2}\Delta_1 a_1^2 a_4+\frac{8Np^2}{n^2N_1N_2}\Delta_1 a_3^2\\
&+\frac{48Np^3}{n^3N_1N_2}\Delta_1 a_1 a_2 a_3+\frac{16Np^4}{n^4N_1N_2}\Delta_1 a_1^3 a_3+\frac{8Np^3}{n^3N_1N_2}\Delta_1 a_2^3\\
&+\frac{24Np^4}{n^4N_1N_2}\Delta_1 a_1^2 a_2^2+\frac{4Np^5}{n^5N_1N_2}\Delta_1 a_1^4 a_2+\frac{2N^2p}{N_1^2N_2^2}a_8+\frac{8N^2p^2}{nN_1^2N_2^2}a_1 a_7\\
&+\frac{8N^2p^2}{nN_1^2N_2^2}a_2 a_6+\frac{12N^2p^3}{n^2N_1^2N_2^2}a_1^2 a_6+\frac{8N^2p^2}{nN_1^2N_2^2}a_3 a_5+\frac{24N^2p^3}{n^2N_1^2N_2^2}a_1 a_2 a_5\\
&+\frac{8N^2p^4}{n^3N_1^2N_2^2}a_1^3 a_5+\frac{6N^2p^2}{nN_1^2N_2^2}a_4^2+\frac{32N^2p^3}{n^2N_1^2N_2^2}a_1 a_3 a_4+\frac{16N^2p^3}{n^2N_1^2N_2^2}a_2^2 a_4\\
&+\frac{28N^2p^4}{n^3N_1^2N_2^2}a_1^2 a_2 a_4+\frac{2N^2p^5}{n^4N_1^2N_2^2}a_1^4 a_4+\frac{16N^2p^3}{n^2N_1^2N_2^2}a_2 a_3^2\\
&+\frac{20N^2p^4}{n^3N_1^2N_2^2}a_1^2 a_3^2+\frac{40N^2p^4}{n^3N_1^2N_2^2}a_1 a_2^2 a_3+\frac{16N^2p^5}{n^4N_1^2N_2^2}+\frac{4N^2p^4}{n^3N_1^2N_2^2}a_2^4\\
&+\frac{12N^2p^5}{n^4N_1^2N_2^2}a_1^2 a_2^3+\frac{2N^2p^6}{n^5N_1^2N_2^2}a_1^4 a_2^2\Bigg\}+o(n^{-1}).
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the *Chebyshev's inequality*, We get $\widetilde{\Delta}_i \xrightarrow{P} \Delta_i,\ i=2,3$.

Replacing the unknown values in Δ_2 with their consistent estimator of Δ_2, we have

$$
\widehat{\Delta}_2 \;=\; \left(1+\frac{1}{n}\right)^{-1}\left\{\widehat{\boldsymbol{\delta}}' S^2 \widehat{\boldsymbol{\delta}}-\frac{p}{n}\hat{a}_1\widehat{\Delta}_1-\frac{Np}{N_1N_2}\left(\frac{n+1}{n}\hat{a}_3+\frac{p}{n}\hat{a}_1\hat{a}_2\right)\right\}.
$$

Using consistency of $\hat{a}_i$, i=1,2,3 and $\widehat{\Delta}_1$, we can prove the consistency of $\widehat{\Delta}_2$. The consistency of $\widehat{\Delta}_3$ can be proven similarly. □

References

[1] Anderson, T. W. (1973). An asymptotic expansion of the distribution of the Studentized classification statistic W. *Ann. Statist.*, **1**, 964-972.

[2] Aoshima, M. and Yata, K. (2013). A distance-based, misclassification rate adjusted classifier for multiclass, high-dimensional data. *Ann. Inst. Statist. Math.*, In press.

[3] Gupta, A. K. and Nagar, D. K. (1999) *Matrix Variate Distributions*. Chapman and Hall, London.

[4] Hyodo, M., Takahashi, S. and Nishiyama, T. (2012). Multiple comparisons among mean vectors when the dimension is larger than the total sample size, Technical Report, No.12-01, *Hiroshima statistical research group, Hiroshima University.*

[5] Hyodo, M., Yamada T., Himeno, T,. and Seo, T. (2012). A modified linear discriminant analysis for high-dimensional data, *Hiroshima Math. J.*, **42**, 209-231.

[6] Matusita, K. (1955). Decision rules, based on the distance for problems of fit, two samples, and estimation. *Ann. Math. Statist.*, **26**, 631-640.

[7] Matusita, K and Motoo, M. (1956). On the fundamental theorem for the decision rule based on distance $\| \|$. *Ann. Inst. Statist. Math.*, **7**, 137-142.

[8] McLachlan, G. J.(1977). Constrained sample discrimination with the Studentized classification statistic W, *Comm. Statist. - Theory Methods*, **6**, 575-583.

[9] Rao, C.R. (1973). *Linear Statistical Inference and Its Applications*. Wiley, New York.

[10] Shutoh, N., Hyodo, M., Pavlenko, T. and Seo, T. (2012). Constrained linear discriminant rule via the Studentized classification statistic based on monotone missing data. *SUT Journal of Mathematics,* **48**, 55-69.

[11] Srivastava, M. S. (2005). Some tests concerning the covariance matrix in high dimensional data, *J. Japan Statist. Soc.*, **35**, 251-272.

[12] Srivastava, M. S. (2006). Minimum distance classification rules for high dimensional data. *J. Multivariate Anal.*, **97**, 2057-2070.

Table 1: $\Sigma = I_p, \quad \alpha = 0.1$

$N\backslash p$	64	128	256	512	1024
64	0.101258	0.101550	0.101822	0.101591	0.101701
128	0.100356	0.100635	0.100767	0.100808	0.100928
256	0.100135	0.100175	0.100320	0.100349	0.100449

Table 2: $\Sigma = I_p, \quad \alpha = 0.2$

$N\backslash p$	64	128	256	512	1024
64	0.201793	0.202039	0.201824	0.201865	0.201870
128	0.200916	0.201113	0.201099	0.200896	0.200811
256	0.200412	0.200442	0.200533	0.200539	0.200460

Table 3: $\Sigma = I_p, \quad \alpha = 0.3$

$N\backslash p$	64	128	256	512	1024
64	0.302290	0.302157	0.301731	0.301633	0.301501
128	0.301158	0.301198	0.301026	0.300898	0.300719
256	0.300731	0.300555	0.300589	0.300503	0.300396

Table 4: $\rho = 0.2, \quad \alpha = 0.1$

$N\backslash p$	64	128	256	512	1024
64	0.100874	0.101402	0.101553	0.101538	0.101828
128	0.100087	0.100525	0.100703	0.100816	0.100883
256	0.099999	0.100064	0.100243	0.100349	0.100432

Table 5: $\rho = 0.2, \quad \alpha = 0.2$

$N\backslash p$	64	128	256	512	1024
64	0.201434	0.201462	0.201832	0.201741	0.201876
128	0.200718	0.201274	0.200788	0.200826	0.200614
256	0.200245	0.200183	0.200339	0.200457	0.200174

Table 6: $\rho = 0.2, \quad \alpha = 0.3$

$N\backslash p$	64	128	256	512	1024
64	0.302047	0.301750	0.301613	0.301398	0.301309
128	0.301085	0.300956	0.300938	0.300820	0.300959
256	0.300625	0.300506	0.300451	0.300399	0.300528

Table 7: $\rho = 0.5, \quad \alpha = 0.1$

$N\backslash p$	64	128	256	512	1024
64	0.098922	0.100218	0.100929	0.101420	0.101548
128	0.098741	0.099486	0.100154	0.100438	0.100722
256	0.099124	0.099461	0.099723	0.099997	0.100195

Table 8: $\rho = 0.5, \quad \alpha = 0.2$

$N\backslash p$	64	128	256	512	1024
64	0.202088	0.200184	0.200799	0.201209	0.201662
128	0.200979	0.199646	0.200136	0.200289	0.200633
256	0.200343	0.199499	0.199728	0.199936	0.200197

Table 9: $\rho = 0.5, \quad \alpha = 0.3$

$N\backslash p$	64	128	256	512	1024
64	0.300322	0.300724	0.300709	0.301292	0.301143
128	0.300083	0.300311	0.300173	0.300521	0.300517
256	0.299821	0.299983	0.300022	0.300112	0.300352

Table 10: The selected parameters for cases 1-6

	case1	case2	case3	case4	case5	case6
1-β	0.90	0.95	0.99	0.90	0.95	0.99
eu	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.10	0.10	0.10

Table 11: $\Sigma = I_p,\ \beta = 0.10,\ eu = 0.20$

		p	64	128	256	512	1024
N	64	$acl(\hat{c}_{2,1})$	0.884	0.885	0.882	0.886	0.883
		$acl(\hat{c}_{2,2})$	0.906	0.907	0.904	0.907	0.905
	128	$acl(\hat{c}_{2,1})$	0.891	0.889	0.888	0.888	0.889
		$acl(\hat{c}_{2,2})$	0.906	0.904	0.904	0.904	0.904
	256	$acl(\hat{c}_{2,1})$	0.893	0.895	0.892	0.893	0.893
		$acl(\hat{c}_{2,2})$	0.904	0.905	0.903	0.904	0.903

Table 12: $\Sigma = I_p,\ \beta = 0.05,\ eu = 0.20$

		p	64	128	256	512	1024
N	64	$acl(\hat{c}_{2,1})$	0.934	0.931	0.935	0.934	0.933
		$acl(\hat{c}_{2,2})$	0.956	0.954	0.956	0.956	0.955
	128	$acl(\hat{c}_{2,1})$	0.939	0.938	0.938	0.938	0.938
		$acl(\hat{c}_{2,2})$	0.954	0.953	0.954	0.953	0.953
	256	$acl(\hat{c}_{2,1})$	0.944	0.943	0.942	0.941	0.942
		$acl(\hat{c}_{2,2})$	0.954	0.953	0.952	0.952	0.953

Table 13: $\Sigma = I_p,\ \beta = 0.01,\ eu = 0.20$

		p	64	128	256	512	1024
N	64	$acl(\hat{c}_{2,1})$	0.980	0.979	0.979	0.979	0.979
		$acl(\hat{c}_{2,2})$	0.992	0.993	0.993	0.992	0.992
	128	$acl(\hat{c}_{2,1})$	0.983	0.983	0.983	0.983	0.982
		$acl(\hat{c}_{2,2})$	0.992	0.991	0.992	0.992	0.992
	256	$acl(\hat{c}_{2,1})$	0.986	0.985	0.985	0.986	0.985
		$acl(\hat{c}_{2,2})$	0.991	0.991	0.991	0.991	0.991

Table 14: $\Sigma = I_p,\ \beta = 0.10,\ eu = 0.10$

		p	64	128	256	512	1024
N	64	$acl(\hat{c}_{2,1})$	0.882	0.881	0.879	0.877	0.876
		$acl(\hat{c}_{2,2})$	0.913	0.911	0.909	0.907	0.906
	128	$acl(\hat{c}_{2,1})$	0.889	0.887	0.884	0.884	0.885
		$acl(\hat{c}_{2,2})$	0.910	0.909	0.907	0.905	0.907
	256	$acl(\hat{c}_{2,1})$	0.894	0.893	0.890	0.890	0.888
		$acl(\hat{c}_{2,2})$	0.909	0.907	0.905	0.904	0.903

Table 15: $\Sigma = I_p,\ \beta = 0.05,\ eu = 0.10$

		p	64	128	256	512	1024
N	64	$acl(\hat{c}_{2,1})$	0.930	0.927	0.927	0.927	0.924
		$acl(\hat{c}_{2,2})$	0.960	0.958	0.958	0.958	0.956
	128	$acl(\hat{c}_{2,1})$	0.936	0.936	0.935	0.933	0.933
		$acl(\hat{c}_{2,2})$	0.957	0.957	0.956	0.955	0.955
	256	$acl(\hat{c}_{2,1})$	0.941	0.940	0.939	0.938	0.938
		$acl(\hat{c}_{2,2})$	0.955	0.955	0.954	0.954	0.953

Table 16: $\Sigma = I_p,\ \beta = 0.01,\ eu = 0.10$

		p	64	128	256	512	1024
N	64	$acl(\hat{c}_{2,1})$	0.975	0.973	0.973	0.973	0.974
		$acl(\hat{c}_{2,2})$	0.994	0.993	0.993	0.993	0.993
	128	$acl(\hat{c}_{2,1})$	0.981	0.980	0.979	0.979	0.979
		$acl(\hat{c}_{2,2})$	0.993	0.992	0.992	0.993	0.992
	256	$acl(\hat{c}_{2,1})$	0.984	0.984	0.983	0.983	0.982
		$acl(\hat{c}_{2,2})$	0.992	0.992	0.992	0.992	0.991

Table 17: $\rho = 0.2,\ \beta = 0.10,\ eu = 0.20$

		p	64	128	256	512	1024
N	64	$acl(\hat{c}_{2,1})$	0.887	0.885	0.885	0.886	0.883
		$acl(\hat{c}_{2,2})$	0.909	0.908	0.907	0.907	0.905
	128	$acl(\hat{c}_{2,1})$	0.893	0.890	0.890	0.888	0.889
		$acl(\hat{c}_{2,2})$	0.908	0.905	0.906	0.904	0.904
	256	$acl(\hat{c}_{2,1})$	0.896	0.895	0.892	0.893	0.891
		$acl(\hat{c}_{2,2})$	0.906	0.905	0.903	0.904	0.902

Table 18: $\rho = 0.2,\ \beta = 0.05,\ eu = 0.20$

		p	64	128	256	512	1024
N	64	$acl(\hat{c}_{2,1})$	0.936	0.935	0.932	0.934	0.933
		$acl(\hat{c}_{2,2})$	0.958	0.957	0.955	0.956	0.955
	128	$acl(\hat{c}_{2,1})$	0.940	0.936	0.940	0.939	0.940
		$acl(\hat{c}_{2,2})$	0.955	0.958	0.955	0.953	0.954
	256	$acl(\hat{c}_{2,1})$	0.944	0.944	0.944	0.943	0.941
		$acl(\hat{c}_{2,2})$	0.955	0.955	0.954	0.953	0.952

Table 19: $\rho = 0.2,\ \beta = 0.01,\ eu = 0.20$

		p	64	128	256	512	1024
N	64	$acl(\hat{c}_{2,1})$	0.980	0.979	0.979	0.979	0.979
		$acl(\hat{c}_{2,2})$	0.993	0.993	0.993	0.993	0.993
	128	$acl(\hat{c}_{2,1})$	0.985	0.983	0.983	0.982	0.983
		$acl(\hat{c}_{2,2})$	0.993	0.992	0.992	0.992	0.992
	256	$acl(\hat{c}_{2,1})$	0.986	0.985	0.985	0.985	0.985
		$acl(\hat{c}_{2,2})$	0.991	0.991	0.992	0.992	0.991

Table 20: $\rho = 0.2,\ \beta = 0.10,\ eu = 0.10$

		p	64	128	256	512	1024
N	64	$acl(\hat{c}_{2,1})$	0.885	0.881	0.879	0.881	0.877
		$acl(\hat{c}_{2,2})$	0.916	0.912	0.910	0.910	0.906
	128	$acl(\hat{c}_{2,1})$	0.890	0.890	0.886	0.885	0.884
		$acl(\hat{c}_{2,2})$	0.912	0.911	0.908	0.907	0.906
	256	$acl(\hat{c}_{2,1})$	0.897	0.893	0.894	0.890	0.888
		$acl(\hat{c}_{2,2})$	0.912	0.909	0.909	0.906	0.904

Table 21: $\rho = 0.2,\ \beta = 0.05,\ eu = 0.10$

		p	64	128	256	512	1024
N	64	$acl(\hat{c}_{2,1})$	0.931	0.929	0.926	0.926	0.926
		$acl(\hat{c}_{2,2})$	0.962	0.961	0.958	0.957	0.956
	128	$acl(\hat{c}_{2,1})$	0.939	0.936	0.934	0.933	0.932
		$acl(\hat{c}_{2,2})$	0.961	0.958	0.956	0.955	0.954
	256	$acl(\hat{c}_{2,1})$	0.943	0.941	0.941	0.939	0.939
		$acl(\hat{c}_{2,2})$	0.958	0.956	0.957	0.954	0.955

Table 22: $\rho = 0.2,\ \beta = 0.01,\ eu = 0.10$

		p	64	128	256	512	1024
N	64	$acl(\hat{c}_{2,1})$	0.975	0.974	0.972	0.973	0.973
		$acl(\hat{c}_{2,2})$	0.995	0.994	0.993	0.993	0.993
	128	$acl(\hat{c}_{2,1})$	0.980	0.979	0.980	0.979	0.978
		$acl(\hat{c}_{2,2})$	0.994	0.993	0.993	0.992	0.992
	256	$acl(\hat{c}_{2,1})$	0.984	0.984	0.984	0.984	0.982
		$acl(\hat{c}_{2,2})$	0.993	0.993	0.992	0.992	0.991

Table 23: $\rho = 0.5,\ \beta = 0.10,\ eu = 0.20$

		p	64	128	256	512	1024
N	64	$acl(\hat{c}_{2,1})$	0.902	0.893	0.890	0.888	0.887
		$acl(\hat{c}_{2,2})$	0.924	0.916	0.913	0.909	0.908
	128	$acl(\hat{c}_{2,1})$	0.906	0.901	0.894	0.893	0.890
		$acl(\hat{c}_{2,2})$	0.920	0.917	0.911	0.908	0.905
	256	$acl(\hat{c}_{2,1})$	0.904	0.902	0.901	0.897	0.895
		$acl(\hat{c}_{2,2})$	0.915	0.913	0.912	0.908	0.906

Table 24: $\rho = 0.5,\ \beta = 0.05,\ eu = 0.20$

		p	64	128	256	512	1024
N	64	$acl(\hat{c}_{2,1})$	0.932	0.939	0.938	0.935	0.933
		$acl(\hat{c}_{2,2})$	0.954	0.962	0.960	0.958	0.956
	128	$acl(\hat{c}_{2,1})$	0.938	0.945	0.941	0.943	0.939
		$acl(\hat{c}_{2,2})$	0.953	0.960	0.957	0.958	0.954
	256	$acl(\hat{c}_{2,1})$	0.943	0.948	0.947	0.946	0.943
		$acl(\hat{c}_{2,2})$	0.953	0.959	0.958	0.957	0.954

Table 25: $\rho = 0.5,\ \beta = 0.01,\ eu = 0.20$

		p	64	128	256	512	1024
N	64	$acl(\hat{c}_{2,1})$	0.983	0.980	0.980	0.980	0.980
		$acl(\hat{c}_{2,2})$	0.996	0.994	0.994	0.993	0.993
	128	$acl(\hat{c}_{2,1})$	0.987	0.985	0.984	0.983	0.983
		$acl(\hat{c}_{2,2})$	0.995	0.994	0.993	0.992	0.992
	256	$acl(\hat{c}_{2,1})$	0.988	0.987	0.987	0.985	0.986
		$acl(\hat{c}_{2,2})$	0.994	0.993	0.993	0.992	0.992

Table 26: $\rho = 0.5,\ \beta = 0.10,\ eu = 0.10$

		p	64	128	256	512	1024
N	64	$acl(\hat{c}_{2,1})$	0.897	0.889	0.881	0.880	0.881
		$acl(\hat{c}_{2,2})$	0.934	0.924	0.915	0.912	0.911
	128	$acl(\hat{c}_{2,1})$	0.904	0.898	0.891	0.890	0.887
		$acl(\hat{c}_{2,2})$	0.931	0.924	0.917	0.913	0.909
	256	$acl(\hat{c}_{2,1})$	0.903	0.902	0.899	0.894	0.891
		$acl(\hat{c}_{2,2})$	0.923	0.921	0.917	0.912	0.908

Table 27: $\rho = 0.5,\ \beta = 0.05,\ eu = 0.10$

		p	64	128	256	512	1024
N	64	$acl(\hat{c}_{2,1})$	0.937	0.934	0.929	0.927	0.927
		$acl(\hat{c}_{2,2})$	0.974	0.969	0.963	0.960	0.958
	128	$acl(\hat{c}_{2,1})$	0.945	0.942	0.937	0.936	0.932
		$acl(\hat{c}_{2,2})$	0.971	0.967	0.962	0.960	0.956
	256	$acl(\hat{c}_{2,1})$	0.949	0.947	0.944	0.941	0.941
		$acl(\hat{c}_{2,2})$	0.968	0.966	0.962	0.959	0.958

Table 28: $\rho = 0.5,\ \beta = 0.01,\ eu = 0.10$

		p	64	128	256	512	1024
N	64	$acl(\hat{c}_{2,1})$	0.977	0.975	0.975	0.973	0.974
		$acl(\hat{c}_{2,2})$	0.998	0.996	0.995	0.994	0.994
	128	$acl(\hat{c}_{2,1})$	0.982	0.981	0.980	0.980	0.979
		$acl(\hat{c}_{2,2})$	0.997	0.996	0.995	0.994	0.993
	256	$acl(\hat{c}_{2,1})$	0.986	0.985	0.985	0.984	0.983
		$acl(\hat{c}_{2,2})$	0.996	0.996	0.995	0.994	0.993